


MHHS Webinar: Change Control #9 CR056



Document owner

Roisin Quinn

Status:

Approved

Document number

DEL3140

Date

25 September 2024

Version

1.0

Classification

Public

Change Record

Date	Author	Version	Change Detail
25 September	Will Miller	1.0	First Copy

Reviewers

Reviewer	Role
Roisin Quinn	PMO Lead

Q1. There must also be an impact on DNOs to test this as it's a change to MPRS?

The overall forecast impact to actual cost should be shared in the impact assessment; this should include any impact due to additional resourcing, extended implementation timeline etc.

Q2. Have SCS confirmed they can deliver this ahead of the new M10 date?

SCS has given a resource estimate of ~7 working days to implement this change, which means this change can be implemented ahead of M10.

Q3. As the problem statement confirms irrespective of the order the registration would either not have the correct MDR or it would not have the correct MEM wouldn't it be correct to say that 100% of MPANs would be impacted in terms of holding the correct record if this change does not go ahead?

Not all files would be affected, but the number of impacted files is not known.

Q4. So this change does not affect the number of messages sent to CSS, just the order?

This will reduce the number of messages sent to CSS.

Q5. I thought we expected a new MEM to be appointed first (and sent to CSS) and then an MDR appointed (and sent to CSS along with the new MEM)?

My understanding is that this could be in any order, dependent on how the appointments are processed by the Registration Service.

Q6. Would a supplier only be impacted if a MEM or MDR is accessing smart meters on their behalf - if they do all of the comms themselves there should be no impact? Other than communication with the smart meter I can't see any issues arising from the CSS holding the wrong agents.

That's correct yes. This would only cause a material issue for agents trying to access the Smart DSP directly.

Q7. Having just re-read the CR, I interpret this as being a single CSS00200 message sent to CSS (between 8-9pm) containing all appointments confirmed on that date.

Yes, this is the intent of the CR.

Q8. Is it possible/likely that the MEM and MDR could be appointed on different dates? In which case CSS will receive more than one CSS00200 message?

Yes, a supplier can appoint a MEM and MDR independently of each other. If a single agent was appointed on a particular day then the message for that day would only contain one appointment. In the event of a COS or an MHHS migration, all agents would go live on the same day (the effective day of the COS or migration) it's the issue of flows being sent out of order for these events that the CR is seeking to resolve.